



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,895	10/04/2005	James A. Proctor Jr.	080591	3451
23596 7590 02/05/2010 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121				
EXAMINER MILORD, MARCEAU				
ART UNIT 2618		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 02/05/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

kascanla@qualcomm.com

nanm@qualcomm.com

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/551,895

**Applicant(s)**

PROCTOR JR. ET AL.

**Examiner**

Marceau Milord

**Art Unit**

2618

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 10-20, 25-36 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-9, 21-24 and 37-41 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB06)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 10-17, 25-33, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls et al (US Patent No 7058368 B2) in view of Marsh et al (US Patent No 6539204 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Nicholls et al discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, the transmitter and the receiver contained in a single wireless device operating using at least two frequency channels (col. 3, lines 1-27; col. 4, lines 33-62; col. 11, lines 3-23), the receiver operating on a first one of the at least two frequency channels and the transmitter operating on a second one of the at least two frequency channels, the method comprising: sampling a signal for transmission on the second of the at least two frequency channels (col. 8, lines 39-67; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 64).

However, Nicholls et al does not specifically disclose the steps of adjusting one or more parameters associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal; and combining the adjusted signal with the receive signal path including the leakage signal, to form a combined signal so as to cancel the leakage signal.

On the other hand, Marsh et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses the features of adjusting (adjusters 720, 710, 730; col. 10, lines 51-65) one or more parameters (phase adjuster, amplitude adjuster, delay adjuster) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal; and combining (active cancellation device), to form a combined signal so as to cancel the leakage signal (fig. 2, fig. 7, fig. 10; col. 4, lines 39-60; col. 9, lines 15-44; col. 10, lines 50-67; col. 13, lines 1-22).

Marsh et al shows in figure 2, an active cancellation device that receives a model of a first signal from a local wireless transmitter. The first signal causes a coupled signal that interferes in a second signal received by a local wireless receiver from a remote wireless transmitter. The active cancellation device generates a cancellation signal based on the model of the first signal, and provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver. The active cancellation unit provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver to be combined with the received signal. In addition, a signal coupler can be used to combine the cancellation signal with the second signal. Coupler and splitter combine the pilot tone with the signal from transmitter and provide the combined signal to the antenna. The active cancellation unit may use any number of phase shifters and amplitude adjusters (parameters) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal. The adjustments to phase and amplitude are accomplished in the active cancellation unit by two bipolar amplifiers. The active cancellation unit operates like a

phase lock loop, continuously adjusting cancellation signal to reduce the error signal. In addition, the digital samples of the received signal are provided to the cancellation unit. Furthermore, the wireless active cancellation is performed using the three adjusters, delay, phase, and amplitude, to generate cancellation signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Marsh to the communication system of Nicholls in order to provide a method for canceling transmitter leakage in a wireless transceiver.

Regarding claim 2, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the single wireless device includes a frequency translating repeater (col. 3, lines 3-27; col. 11, lines 2-38).

Regarding claim 10, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the sampling includes sampling the signal for transmission after amplification of the signal (col. 8, lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 11, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the sampling includes sampling the signal for transmission prior to transmission of the signal over an antenna (col. 10, line 64-col. 11, line 38).

Regarding claim 12, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a

receiver, wherein the sampling includes sampling the signal for transmission prior to passing the signal through a circulator (col. 9, line 61-col. 10, line 20; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 41).

Regarding claim 13, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the combining includes combining the adjusted signal using an antenna (col. 11, lines 3-61; col. 12, lines 1-47).

Regarding claim 14, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the combining includes combining the adjusted signal using a circulator (col. 13, lines 16-41; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 15, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a method for canceling a leakage signal (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the one or more parameters includes one or more of a phase shift parameter and a amplitude parameter (col. 11, lines 3-38; col. 12, lines 25-64).

Regarding claim 16, Nicholls et al discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, the transmitter and the receiver contained in a single wireless device operating using at least two frequency channels (col. 3, lines 1-27; col. 4, lines 33-62; col. 11, lines 3-23), the receiver operating on a first one of the at least two frequency channels and the transmitter operating on a second one of the at least two frequency channels, the apparatus comprising: a radio frequency interface; a processor; and a memory coupled to the processor and the radio frequency interface, the memory containing instructions for causing the processor to: sample a

signal for transmission on the second of the at least two frequency channels (col. 8, lines 39-67; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 64).

On the other hand, Marsh et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses the features of adjusting (adjusters 720, 710, 730; col. 10, lines 51-65) one or more parameters (amplitude adjuster, phase adjuster, delay adjuster) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal; and combining the adjusted signal with the receive signal path including the leakage signal (active cancellation device), to form a combined signal so as to cancel the leakage signal (fig. 2, fig. 7, fig. 10; col. 4, lines 39-60; col. 9, lines 15-44; col. 10, lines 50-67; col. 13, lines 1-22).

Marsh et al shows in figure 2, an active cancellation device that receives a model of a first signal from a local wireless transmitter. The first signal causes a coupled signal that interferes in a second signal received by a local wireless receiver from a remote wireless transmitter. The active cancellation device generates a cancellation signal based on the model of the first signal, and provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver. The active cancellation unit provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver to be combined with the received signal. In addition, a signal coupler can be used to combine the cancellation signal with the second signal. Coupler and splitter combine the pilot tone with the signal from transmitter and provide the combined signal to the antenna. The active cancellation unit may use any number of phase shifters and amplitude adjusters (parameters) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal. The adjustments to phase and amplitude are accomplished in the active cancellation unit by two bipolar amplifiers. The active cancellation unit operates like a phase lock loop, continuously adjusting cancellation signal to reduce the error signal. In addition,

the digital samples of the received signal are provided to the cancellation unit. Furthermore, the wireless active cancellation is performed using the three adjusters, delay, phase, and amplitude, to generate cancellation signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Marsh to the communication system of Nicholls in order to provide a method for canceling transmitter leakage in a wireless transceiver.

Regarding claim 17, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the single wireless device includes a frequency translating repeater (col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 25, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the instructions in causing the processor to sample further cause the processor sample the signal for transmission after amplification of the signal (col. 8, lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 26, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the instructions in causing the processor to sample further cause the processor sample the signal for transmission prior to transmission of the signal over an antenna (col. 11, lines 3-61; col. 12, lines 1-47).

Regarding claim 27, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path



associated with a receiver, wherein the instructions in causing the processor to sample further cause the processor sample the signal for transmission prior to passing the signal through a circulator (col. 9, line 61-col. 10, line 20; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 41).

Regarding claim 28, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the instructions in causing the processor to combine further cause the processor combine the adjusted signal using an antenna (col. 13, lines 16-41; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 29, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the instructions in causing the processor to combine further cause the processor combine the adjusted signal using a circulator (col. 13, lines 16-41; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 30, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the one or more parameters includes one or more of a phase shift parameter and a amplitude parameter (col. 13, lines 16-41; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 31, Nicholls et al as modified discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein instructions cause the processor to continuously minimize the determined metric only when the transmitter is actively transmitting the signal (col. 11, lines 3-38; col. 12, lines 25-64).

Regarding claim 32, Nicholls et al discloses a canceller (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, the transmitter and the receiver contained in a single wireless device operating using at least two frequency channels (col. 3, lines 1-27; col. 4, lines 33-62; col. 11, lines 3-23), the receiver operating on a first one of the at least two frequency channels and the transmitter operating on a second one of the at least two frequency channels, the canceller comprising: a first coupler coupled to the transmit signal path, the first coupler configured to generate a reference signal (col. 8, lines 39-67; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 64); a second coupler coupled to the receive signal path, (col. 8, lines 39-67), the second coupler configured to couple an adjusted signal to the receive signal path (col. 8, lines 39-67; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 64; col. 13, lines 1-41).

On the other hand, Marsh et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses the features of a third coupler (coupler) coupled to the receive signal path, the third coupler configured to generate a sampled signal; a parameter adjuster (adjusters 720, 710, 730; col. 10, lines 51-65) configured to adjust at least a first and a second parameter (amplitude adjuster, phase adjuster, delay adjuster) associated with the adjusted signal; and a detector configured to detect a level associated with the leakage signal (fig. 2, fig. 7, fig. 10; col. 4, lines 39-60; col. 9, lines 15-44; col. 10, lines 50-67; col. 13, lines 1-22).

Marsh et al shows in figure 2, an active cancellation device that receives a model of a first signal from a local wireless transmitter. The first signal causes a coupled signal that interferes in a second signal received by a local wireless receiver from a remote wireless transmitter. The active cancellation device generates a cancellation signal based on the model of

the first signal, and provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver. The active cancellation unit provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver to be combined with the received signal. In addition, a signal coupler can be used to combine the cancellation signal with the second signal. Coupler and splitter combine the pilot tone with the signal from transmitter and provide the combined signal to the antenna. The active cancellation unit may use any number of phase shifters and amplitude adjusters (parameters) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal. The adjustments to phase and amplitude are accomplished in the active cancellation unit by two bipolar amplifiers. The active cancellation unit operates like a phase lock loop, continuously adjusting cancellation signal to reduce the error signal. In addition, the digital samples of the received signal are provided to the cancellation unit. Furthermore, the wireless active cancellation is performed using the three adjusters, delay, phase, and amplitude, to generate cancellation signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Marsh to the communication system of Nicholls in order to provide a method for canceling transmitter leakage in a wireless transceiver.

Regarding claim 33, Nicholls et al as modified discloses a canceller (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, wherein the single wireless device includes a frequency translating repeater (col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 47).

Regarding claim 42, Nicholls et al discloses an apparatus (fig. 1, figs. 4-5) configured to cancel a leakage signal coupled from a transmitter to a receive signal path associated with a receiver, the transmitter and the receiver contained in a single wireless device operating using at

least two frequency channels (col. 3, lines 1-27; col. 4, lines 33-62; col. 11, lines 3-23), the receiver operating on a first one of the at least two frequency channels and the transmitter operating on a second one of the at least two frequency channels, the apparatus comprising: means for sampling a signal for transmission on the second of the at least two frequency channels (col. 8, lines 39-67; col. 11, line 32-col. 12, line 64).

On the other hand, Marsh et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses the features of a means for adjusting (adjusters 720, 710, 730; col. 10, lines 51-65) one or more parameters (amplitude adjuster, phase adjuster, delay adjuster) one or more parameters associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal; and means for combining the adjusted signal with the receive signal path including the leakage signal (active cancellation device), to form a combined signal so as to cancel the leakage signal.

Marsh et al shows in figure 2, an active cancellation device that receives a model of a first signal from a local wireless transmitter. The first signal causes a coupled signal that interferes in a second signal received by a local wireless receiver from a remote wireless transmitter. The active cancellation device generates a cancellation signal based on the model of the first signal, and provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver. The active cancellation unit provides the cancellation signal to the local wireless receiver to be combined with the received signal. In addition, a signal coupler can be used to combine the cancellation signal with the second signal. Coupler and splitter combine the pilot tone with the signal from transmitter and provide the combined signal to the antenna. The active cancellation unit may use any number of phase shifters and amplitude adjusters (parameters) associated with the sampled signal to form an adjusted signal. The adjustments to phase and amplitude are accomplished in

the active cancellation unit by two bipolar amplifiers. The active cancellation unit operates like a phase lock loop, continuously adjusting cancellation signal to reduce the error signal. In addition, the digital samples of the received signal are provided to the cancellation unit. Furthermore, the wireless active cancellation is performed using the three adjusters, delay, phase, and amplitude, to generate cancellation signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Marsh to the communication system of Nicholls in order to provide a method for canceling transmitter leakage in a wireless transceiver.

3. Claims 3-5, 18-20, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls et al (US Patent No 7058368 B2) in view of Gebara et al (US Patent No 7123676 B2) as applied to claims 1, 16, 32 above, and further in view of Bolin et al (US Patent No 71904275 B2).

Regarding claims 3-5, 18-20, 34-36, Nicholls and Marsh discloses everything claimed as explained except the features a wireless repeater operating the frequency translating repeater in one of a wireless area network and a wireless metropolitan area network; further comprising operating the wireless terminal according to one or more of an IS-95 protocol, a IS-2000 protocol, a W-CDMA, and a derivative protocol.

However, Bolin et al shows in figures 8 and 9, a communications system that uses a repeater in wireless local area network. The control signal transmitter is integrated in the repeater in a leaking cable system (repeaters). The control signal comprising virtual base station identification data is transmitted only from the part of the leaking cable system situated after the repeater. The signal selector for WCDMA works as an interference canceller that must know

which codes to let pass and which to cancel (col. 2, lines 44-65; col. 5, lines 8-19; col. 10, lines 8-24; col. 10, lines 41-66; col. 12, lines 25-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Bolin to the modified system of Marsh and Nicholls in order to provide a wireless communication system using distributed antenna systems as well as leaking cable systems that are fed by repeater.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-9, 21-24, 37-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### *Response to Arguments*

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 10-20, 25-36, 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 571-272-7853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marceau Milord  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2618

/Marceau Milord/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618